UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,226	08/26/2005	Oemer Uensal	12834-00011-US	1035
	7590 03/20/200 OVE LODGE & HUT		EXAMINER HU, HENRY S  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1796	
P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			HU, HENRY S	
WILMIINGTON	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/530,226	UENSAL ET AL.  Art Unit  1796  N/A.  Dif an agreement was arding the IDS' are me check, Examiner fine 20, 2008. This is for greed would render the claim to be a check of the				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HENRY S. HU	1796				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>HENRY S. HU</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Ashley I. Pezzner (reg. # 35,646)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>17 March 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Attorney Ashley Pezzner calls on March 17, 2009 regarding the IDS' are missing since they are not sent with non-final office action filed on March 4, 2009. After a close check, Examiner finds that a total of three IDS has been initialized and sent with restriction letter filed on November 20, 2008. This is for PTO record purpose. Examiner Henry Hu, au 1796, March 17, 2009.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims</u>						
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached		ould render the	claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF T	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPI OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS			
/Peter D. Mulcahy/						